UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS OF STATES FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

2006 010 20 A II: 06

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	A)	
)	
)	
v.)	Criminal No. 1:06-cr-00071-SM
)	
ELAINE A. BROWN, and)	
EDWARD LEWIS BROWN,)	
Defendants)	
	_)	

NOTICE AND MOTION TO DISMISS INDICTMENT JUDICIAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE NOTICE

The Defendants in propria persona without representation by an attorney notice this court and all parties involved in the above captioned case, of their motion to Dismiss the Indictment and the included memorandum. Officers of the court are hereby noticed of their continuing duty under authority of the supremacy; equal protection and full faith and credit clauses of the United States Constitution and the common law authorities of Haines v Kerner, 404 U.S. 519-421, Platsky v. C.I.A. 953 F.2d. 25, and Anastasoff v. United States, 223 F.3d 898 (8th Cir. 2000). In Haines: pro se litigants are held to less stringent pleading standards than bar licensed attorneys. Regardless of the deficiencies in their pleadings, pro se litigants are entitled to the opportunity to submit evidence in support of their claims. In Platsky: court errs if court dismisses the pro se litigant without instruction of how pleadings are deficient and instructions to repair pleadings. In Anastasoff: litigants' constitutional Rights are violated when courts depart from precedent where parties are similarly situated.

MOTION TO DISMISS INDICTMENT

Elaine A. Brown and Edward L. Brown, husband and wife, Defendants herein, move this court under authority of the Constitution for the United States, Amendments V; VI; and settled case law to **DISMISS THE INDICTMENT** filed in the above captioned case on the ground that the generic terms of the indictment fail to state, or describe the particulars thus depriving the defendants of due process of law as required by law.

MEMORANDUM AND FACTS

Indictment Must State Particulars

An indictment must state the offense in particulars and not just in the generic terms as in a definition. This was held in *Russell v. United States*, 369 U.S. 749, 765 (1962) citing *United States v. Cruikshank*, 92 U.S. 542, 558, 559 (1875), to wit:

"includes generic terms, it is not sufficient that the indictment shall charge the offence in the same generic terms as in the definition; but it must state the species,-it must descend to particulars."

Accord United States v. Pirro, 212 F.3d 86, 92-93 (2nd Cir. 2000); United States v. Stavroulakis, 952 F.2d 686, 693 (2nd Cir. 1992).

And further in Russell ibid at 768, to wit:

"corollary purpose ... to inform the court of the facts alleged, so that it may decide whether they are sufficient in law to support a conviction, if one should be had."

Accord United States v. Pirro, 212 F.3d 86, 93 (2nd Cir. 2000)

CONCLUSION

Wherefore, this court is absent subject matter jurisdiction ab initio by proceeding

without a valid grand jury indictment and thus this matter is of paramount importance to all involved in this case. The Defendants request that this court issue an <u>ORDER</u> to DISMISS THE INDICTMENT made in this case 1:06-cr-00071-SM. This court has a non-discretionary duty to grant this motion and (1) Order the Dismissal of the fraudulent grand jury indictment filed in this matter; (2) Enjoin the United States from any further harassment of Elaine Brown and Edward Brown; (3) Stay all further proceedings until such time as the United States complies with the organic law.

ORAL ARGUMENT DEMANDED

Date Dec 172004

Prepared and submitted by:

Elaine A. Brown c/o 401 Center of Town Road

c/o 401 Center of Town Roa Plainfield, New Hampshire Edward L. Brown

c/o 401 Center of Town Road Plainfield, New Hampshire

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Edward L. Brown, certify that I delivered via postage paid First Class U.S. Mail Return Receipt, a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing NOTICE AND MOTION to the office of the Clerk of Court U.S. District Court, District of New Hampshire, at 55 Pleasant St., Concord, NH 03301-0001 for entry into the record and to William E. Morse in the office of THOMAS P. COLANTUONO, the United States Attorney for the District of (NH) located at 53 Pleasant St. Concord, NH 03301-0001.

Column L. Brown

Edward I Brown

James R. Starr, Clerk Clerk's Office Warren B. Rudman U.S. Courthouse 55 Pleasant Street, Room 110 Concord, NH 03301-3941. U.S. DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF R.H. FILED

2006 DEC 20 A 11: 06

December 17, 2006

Via Certified Mail #7006 0810 0002 7165 6892

Re: 01:06-cr-00071-SM UNITED STATES OF AMERICA v. Elaine Brown; Ed Brown

Dear Mr. Starr:

Please timely file the enclosed Defendants' motion into the above captioned case file and make a suitable docket entry. I have already mailed a true copy of the enclosed motion to the United States Attorneys office.

With all due respect,

Edward Lewis Brown

c/o 401 Center of Town Road Plainfield, New Hampshire