

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	
)	Case No. 1:07-CV-0352 TJM/RFT
Plaintiff)	
)	
v.)	SCHULZ DECLARATION # 8
)	
ROBERT L. SCHULZ;)	
WE THE PEOPLE FOUNDATION FOR)	Date: July 27, 2007
CONSTITUTIONAL EDUCATION, INC.;)	Time: 10:00 A.M.
WE THE PEOPLE CONGRESS, INC.)	Ctrm:
)	
Defendants)	

I, ROBERT L. SCHULZ, declare under penalty of perjury:

1. I am a Defendant in the matter captioned above, and I make this Declaration in support of the motion to dismiss the complaint and in opposition to the motion for summary judgment.
2. I make this Declaration in my individual capacity and as Chairman of Defendant We The People Foundation for Constitutional Education, Inc. (“WTP Foundation”), and as Chairman of Defendant We The People Congress, Inc. (“WTP Congress”).

Purpose

3. The purpose of this Declaration is to provide for the convenience of the Court, a copy of the income tax act passed by Congress in 1913, immediately after the “ratification” of the 16th Amendment, a copy of the decisions by the United States Supreme Court in two cases that were initiated as a result of the adoption of the 1913 income tax act,¹ a copy of the income tax act passed by Congress in 1916 and amended in 1917 to bring the 1913 federal income tax system into compliance with said decisions by the high court.

¹ Brushaber v. Union Pacific Railroad, 240 U.S. 1 (1916); Stanton V. Baltic Mining Co., 240 U.S. 103 (1916).

4. Exhibit A is a copy of the 1913 income tax act, which was passed immediately after the “ratification” of the 16th Amendment.
5. Exhibit B is a copy of the 1916 income tax act, which repealed the 1913 income tax act in order to bring the law into compliance with the United States Supreme Court’s interpretation of the meaning of “income” within the 16th Amendment as set forth in the Brushaber and Stanton cases which were decided in January and February of 1916. Among other things Section 25 of the Act stated that income that was taxable under the 1913 act is no longer taxable.
6. Exhibit C is a copy of the 1917 income tax act which amended the Act of 1916 in order to bring the law more into compliance with the Supreme Court’s rulings in Brushaber and Stanton. Among other things the act of 1917 directs the Executive branch to return all money **withheld** from all individuals under prior act except non-resident aliens. See page 338.
7. Exhibit D is a copy of Treasury Directive 2635, dated January 25, 1918 which is evidence of compliance by the Treasury with the Congressional income tax act of 1917 and the return of monies that had been **withheld** from citizens and residents under income tax act.
8. Exhibit E is a copy of the decision in *Brushaber v. Union Pacific*
9. Exhibit F is a copy of the decision in *Stanton v Baltic Mining*

Executed this 15^h day of July, 2007

ROBERT L. SCHULZ
2458 Ridge Road
Queensbury, NY 12804